

City of Cota

Sonoma County, Californi



DATE: January 3, 2018

TO: ALL INTERESTED PARTIES

SUBJECT: CITY OF COTATI
REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS FOR DESIGN SERVICES FOR E.
COTATI AVENUE REHABILITATION PROJECT

NO. of PAGES: 2

ADDENDUM NO. 1

Proposers shall acknowledge receipt of this Addendum by signing and attaching a copy of this addendum to their proposal.

1. Q. It appears four curb ramps are non-compliant as noted in the RFP. We located two at Sunflower and two at Windmill Farms. The easterly one at Sunflower is non-compliant, so please confirm it is within the City of Cotati and is to be included in the project.
A. The curb ramp on the easterly side of the Sunflower driveway entrance is within the City limits and would therefore be part of the project.
2. Q. The RFP notes several driveways as non-compliant. It appears all of the existing driveways, except for the newer one on the south side of the street just east of the SMART tracks, are non-compliant, with walking path of travel cross-slopes well over 2% (#1025, both Progress Glass, SCWA driveway on the south side, #1038, fire access drive on the south side on the east side of Windmill Farms). Are all of these to be included in the scope? Also "The Park Club" driveway is non-compliant; please confirm if this is to be included.
A. Include all the non-compliant driveways in the scope.
3. Q. The RFP notes the replacement of the non-compliant sidewalk by the park/uplifted pine trees. It appears almost all of the sidewalks within the project limits have cross-slopes exceeding 2% (north side is in the 4-6% range with the westerly portion west of the park at 10+% and one with a vertical offset of 1"+; southside cross-slopes range from 2.5% to 4.5% with 9% at the curb inlet in front of #1038). Please confirm if replacement of all non-compliant sidewalks is to be included?
A. The project will not replace all non-compliant sidewalks.

City of Cota

Sonoma County, California



4. Q. Is the scope to include pavement resurfacing up to the SMART tracks, or just to the east edge of the new crosswalk? Note there is a west-bound traffic signal detector loop east of the tracks (by the RR pavement markings).
A. Scope is to pave up to the RR tracks and is to include removal and replacement of the traffic loops.
5. Q. Is the intent to landscape the entire median length or just the wider area?
A. The entire median length.
6. Q. Is irrigation water supply available at the park and is a controller available, or will the design require a new water service and/or controller?
A. We will plan to tie into the park's irrigation service and install a new controller for the medians.
7. Q. The 60% submittal notes LID features. What does the City anticipate in this regard?
A. As this project is not a full depth replacement, it will not be required under its MS4 permit to provide LID for the entire street impervious area. However, the City would like to have LID measures installed in the landscape area fronting Sunflower park and for their area benefit to be documented so the City can use them as banked credits.
8. Q. What is the approximate construction budget?
A. The total budgeted amount for the construction phase is \$674,500 and includes CM, contingency, and completing Caltrans paperwork.

Approved by:

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Craig A. Scott". The signature is written in a cursive style and is positioned above a horizontal line.

Craig Scott, P.E.
Director of Public Works / City Engineer

Proposer acknowledgement